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## Introduction

Fluid-particle interaction : described by the semi-implicit Immersed Boundary Method.

Particle-particle interaction : characterized by three sub-models :

1. Contact detection algorithm
2. Collision model :

- The particle surfaces are in direct contact.
- Model based on the hard sphere approach.
- Model without numerical parameters, and takes into account hydrodynamic forces.

3. Lubrication model :

- Distance between particle surfaces very small.
- Constant lubrication force.


## Mathematical formulation

Hydrodynamic forces: described by the Navier-Stokes equations.
Particle dynamics : described by Newton's equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{p} \frac{d u_{p}}{d t}=\int_{d P} \tau \cdot n+V_{p}\left(\rho_{p}-\rho_{f}\right)\left(g+f_{v}\right)+f_{c}+f_{l u b} \\
I_{p} \cdot \frac{d \Omega_{p}}{d t}+\Omega_{p} \times I_{p} \cdot \Omega_{p}=\int_{d P} R \times(T \cdot N)+M_{c}+M_{l u b}
\end{gathered}
$$

Fluid definitions:
$\rho_{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, density.
$\tau \in \mathbb{M}_{3,3}$, stress tensor.
$T \in \mathbb{M}_{3,3}$, stress tensor in local frame.
Particle definitions:
$P \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, particle domain.
$m_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, mass.
$V_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, volume.

Particle definitions:
$\rho_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, density.
$I_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, moment of inertia.
$u_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, translational velocity.
$\Omega_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, angular velocity. $R \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, vector between mass center and surface point.

Forces definition :
$g \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, gravity.
$f_{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, external forces
on fluid.
$f_{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, collision force.
$f_{l u b} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, lubrication force.
$M_{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, collision torque.
$M_{l u b} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, lubrication torque.

## Mathematical formulation



Discretized equation for translational velocity :

$$
u_{\rho}^{n}-u_{\rho}^{n-1}=\left(m_{\rho}+m_{L}\right)^{-1} \Delta t\left\{f_{f}+f_{g}+f_{c}+f_{l u b}+f_{v}\right\}
$$

Discretized equation for angular velocity :

$$
\Omega_{p}^{n}-\Omega_{p}^{n-1}=-\left(I_{p}+I_{L}\right)^{-1}\left\{\int_{n-1}^{n} \Omega_{p} \times I_{p} \cdot \Omega_{p}\right\}+\Delta t\left(I_{p}+I_{L}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left\{M_{f}+M_{c}+M_{l u b}\right\}
$$

$\square$ Silvio Tschisgale, Tobias Kempe, Jochen Fröhlich. A general implicit direct forcing immersed boundary method for rigid particles, 2018.

## Contact detection algorithm

## Algorithm properties:

- Iterative method :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{j+1} & =\varphi^{j}+\frac{D_{e q}}{a} \frac{d^{j} \cdot t_{\varphi}^{j}}{\left|d^{j}\right|\left|t_{\varphi}^{j}\right|} \\
\phi^{j+1} & =\phi^{j}+\frac{D_{e q}}{a} \frac{d^{j} \cdot t_{\phi}^{j}}{\left|d^{j} \| t_{\phi}^{j}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{\phi^{j}}, t_{\varphi^{j}}$ vectors located at $R^{j}$, tangential to boundary. $d^{j}$ distance between $R^{j}$ of particles and $D_{\text {eq }}$ equivalent diameter.

- Stopping criterion :

$$
d^{j} \cdot t_{\phi}^{j}<\delta \quad d^{j} \cdot t_{\varphi}^{j}<\delta
$$

- Criterion of use :

$$
\left|x_{p, 1}-x_{p, 2}\right| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}+d_{l u b}
$$

- Fast convergence.
- No additional parameters.


## Collision model



Starting point of collision model : Time level $t^{n-1}$ representing first contact. Hard-sphere approach : Collision time is small, so that at time level $t^{n-1}$ only the particle velocities change, while their position and orientation remain unchanged.
-> Collision force $f_{c}$ can be approximated by a constant value over the time interval $\Delta t$.

Aim : Approximate $f_{c}$ to get particle velocities after collision at time level $t^{n}$. Step 1 : Considering particle velocities at contact point at time level $t^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{c}^{n} & =u_{p}^{n}+\omega_{p}^{n} \times r_{c} \\
\Rightarrow u_{c}^{n} & =u_{c}^{n-1}+u_{e x}+\left(m_{p}+m_{L}\right)^{-1} p_{c}+\left(i_{p}+i_{L}\right)^{-1} \cdot I_{c} \times r_{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{\text {ex }}$ known velocity due to external forces, $p_{c}=\Delta t f_{c}$ and $I_{c}=\Delta t m_{c}=r_{c} \times p_{c}$ linear an angular momentum due to collision.

## Collision model

Step 2 : Determining the value of $p_{c}$ :
The relationship between $p_{c}$ and $I_{c}$ allows writing :

$$
u_{c}^{n}=u_{c}^{n-1}+u_{e x}+K \cdot p_{c}
$$

where $K$ a symmetric system matrix. $p_{c}$ has same magnitude for both particles, but is directed in opposite direction :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{c, 1}^{n}=u_{c, 1}^{n-1}+u_{e x, 1}-K_{1} \cdot p_{c} \\
& u_{c, 2}^{n}=u_{c, 2}^{n-1}+u_{e x, 2}+K_{2} \cdot p_{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference gives:

$$
p_{c}=\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(u_{r}^{n}-u_{r}^{n-1}-u_{e x, r}\right),
$$

$u_{r}^{n}=u_{c, 2}^{n}-u_{c, 1}^{n}$ the relative velocity at contact point.

## Collision model

Step 3 : Determining the unknown $u_{r}^{n}=u_{r, n}^{n}+u_{r, t}^{n}$ using Poisson hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{r, n}^{n}=-e_{d, n} u_{r, n}^{n-1}=-e_{d, n}\left(u_{r}^{n-1} \cdot n\right) n \\
& u_{r, t}^{n}=-e_{d, t} u_{r, t}^{n-1}=-e_{d, t}\left(u_{r}^{n-1} \cdot t\right) t
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $e_{d, n}, e_{d, t}$ dry and tangential coefficient of restitution.
Step 4 : Determining particle behavior after contact [3] :
Assuming that particles stick fully after contact, $e_{d, t}=0$, the change in relative velocity and linear momentum get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u & =u_{r}^{n-1}-u_{r}^{n}=u_{r}^{n-1}+e_{d, n}\left(u_{r}^{n-1} \cdot n\right) n \\
p_{c} & =-\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \Delta u-\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)^{-1} \cdot u_{e x, r}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Particles stick after contact :

$\left|p_{c} \cdot t\right| \leq \mu_{s}\left|p_{c} \cdot n\right|, \mu_{s}$ static coefficient of friction.
$\Rightarrow p_{c}$ good approximation of collision response.

Particles slide after contact :
Redefinition of $p_{c}$ using Coulomb law of friction :

$$
p_{c}=p_{n}\left(n+\mu_{k} t\right), p_{n}=-\frac{\Delta u \cdot n+u_{e x, r} \cdot n}{\left(K_{\mathbf{1}}+K_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \cdot\left(n+\mu_{k} t\right) \cdot n},
$$

$\mu_{k}$ kinetic coefficient of friction.

## Lubrication model

Lubrication model with constant force $f_{l u b}$ :

- Ensures that hydrodynamic forces are resolved short time before and after direct contact, for distances smaller than $d_{l u b}$.
- Constant force avoids uncontrolled variations of $f_{l u b}$.

Model for spherical particles:
$f_{l u b}=\left\{\begin{aligned} 0, & d>d_{l u b}, \\ -k\left(S t_{r}\right) \frac{\mu_{f} u_{r, n}}{d_{l u b}}\left(\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{r_{1}+r_{2}}\right)^{2}, & d \leq d_{l u b},\end{aligned}\right.$
depending on particles radii, distance $d_{l u b}$, fluid viscosity, normal relative velocity at contact point and relative Stokes number :

$$
S t_{r}=\frac{\rho_{p}}{9 \rho_{f}} \frac{\left|u_{r, n}\right|}{\nu_{f}}\left(\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) / 2}\right)
$$

Gauss function [4] :

$$
k\left(S t_{r}\right)=125 \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{20000} S t_{r}^{2}\right\}
$$

Model for arbitrary particles : Particle surfaces are approximated by a sphere of same curvature using Gaussian curvature at contact point $R$ [1] :

$$
G=\frac{1}{a^{2} b^{2} c^{2}\left[\frac{R_{X}^{2}}{a^{4}}+\frac{R_{V}^{2}}{b^{4}}+\frac{R_{Z}^{2}}{c^{4}}\right]^{2}}
$$

Radius of Gaussian curvature :

$$
R_{G}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}}
$$

used to replace the particles radii.

## Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity of the model to different quantities for a particle-wall collision :


## CFL :

- Rebound trajectories are damped for larger time steps.
- No differences for CFL $\leq 0.6$.

Distance $d_{l u b}$ :

- No visible differences.


## Spatial resolution :

- Trajectory before and after collision differs from reference for larger mesh sizes.
- Fluid forces are only marginally captured.


## Initial height :

- No visible differences.


## Validation

## Normal collision of a spherical particle with a wall [5] [4]



The relative Stokes number is varied to analyze its influence on the restitution coefficient for normal collision :

$$
e_{n}=-\frac{\left|u_{p, n, \text { out }}\right|}{\left|u_{p, n, i n}\right|}
$$

$u_{p, n, i n}, u_{p, n, o u t}$ the particle normal velocities before and after the lubrication zone.

Oblique collision of a spherical particle with a wall [6]
Particles are driven by the acceleration :
$g=\left(g \sin \left(\phi_{\text {in }}\right),-g \cos \left(\phi_{\text {in }}\right), 0\right)^{T}, \phi_{\text {in }}$ incidence angle.
Comparison of the rebound angle $\Psi_{\text {out }}$ to the impact angle $\Psi_{i n}$ :

$$
\Psi_{\text {in }}=\frac{\left|u_{c, t, \text { in }}\right|}{\left|u_{c, n, \text { in }}\right|} \quad, \Psi_{\text {out }}=\frac{\left|u_{c, t, \text { out }}\right|}{\left|u_{c, n, \text { in }}\right|}
$$



## Results

## Oblique collision of ellipsoidal particles



For ellipsoidal particles the rebound trajectory does not only depend on the relative Stokes number but also on the particle axis $a, b, c$, the particle orientation $\theta$ and angular velocity.

Rebound trajectories for different $\theta$ for oblate ellipsoids, $a=b, \frac{b}{c}=2$ :
a) $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$
b) $\theta=\frac{4 \pi}{9}$
c) $\theta=\frac{\pi}{3}$
d) $\theta=\frac{\pi}{4}$


## Results

## Normal collision of oblate particles with a wall



Investigation on the dependency of the restitution coefficient as a function of the relative Stokes number, considering different ratio of particles axis, $\frac{b}{c}$ :

- Maximum value for normalized restitution coefficient decreases with increasing ratio $\frac{b}{c}$.
- Lubrication forces become larger with increasing flatness of particles; increasing radius of curvature.


## References for collision models

- Soft sphere collision model :

R M.N.Ardekani, P.Costa, W.P.Breugem, L.Brandt. Numerical study of the sedimentation of spherical particles, 2016.
画 E.Biegert, B.Vowinckel,E.Meiburg. A collision model for grain-resolving simulations of flows over dense, mobile, polydisperse granular sediment beds, 2017.

- Collision model for complex shaped particles :
S. Fukuoka, T.Fukuda, T.Uchida. Effects of sizes and shapes of gravel particles on sediment transports and bed variations in a numerical movable-bed channel, 2014.
R.Sun, H.Xiao, H.Sun. Realistic representation of grain shapes in CFD-DEM simulations of sediment transport with a bonded-sphere approach, 2017.
- Hertzian contact theory :
R.Ray, T.Kempe, J.Fröhlich. Efficient modelling of particle collisions using a non-linear viscoelastic contact force, 2015.


## References for collision models

- Adaptive collision model :
T.Kempe, B.Vowinckel, J.Fröhlich. On the relevance of collision modeling for interface-resolving simulations of sediment transport in open channel flow, 2014.
R B. Vowinckel, R.Jain, T.Kempe, J.Fröhlich. Entrainment of single particles in a turbulent open-channel flow : a numerical study, 2016.
- Multi-collision model :
S.Tschisgale,L.Thiry, J.Fröhlich. A constraint-based collision model for Cosserat rods, 2018.
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